



R-052-ENG

AMPARO LAW, REGULATING ARTICLES 103 AND 107 OF THE MEXICAN POLITICAL CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED MEXICAN STATES

[...]

Section One Appeal for Review

Article 81. The appeal for review is admissible:

- I. In indirect amparo, against the following resolutions:
 - a) Those that grant or deny the definitive suspension; if applicable, the resolutions pronounced in the incidental hearing must be challenged;
 - b) Those that modify or revoke the agreement in which the definitive suspension is granted or denied, or those that deny the revocation or modification of such orders; if applicable, the agreements pronounced in the corresponding hearing must be challenged;
 - c) Those deciding on the motion for the reinstatement of the record of the proceedings;
 - d) Those declaring the dismissal of the case outside the constitutional hearing; and
 - e) Judgments issued in the constitutional hearing; if applicable, the resolutions pronounced in the hearing itself must be challenged.
- II. **In direct amparo, against judgments that resolve on the constitutionality of general rules that establish the direct interpretation of a precept of the Political Constitution of the United Mexican States or omit to decide on such questions when they have been raised, provided that in the opinion of the Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation the matter is of exceptional interest in constitutional or human rights matters. The subject matter of the appeal will be limited to the decision of the constitutional questions themselves without being able to include others.**

Amended section DOF 07-06-2021

Amendment DOF 07-06-2021: Repealed the then second paragraph of the article

Article 82. The party that obtained a favorable resolution in the amparo proceeding may join the review filed by another party within a period of five days, counted as of the day following the



R-052-ENG

day in which the notification of the admission of the appeal becomes effective, expressing the corresponding grievances; the filing of a joinder to the appeal follows the procedural fate of the appeal.

Article 83. The Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation is competent to hear the appeal for review of the judgments issued in the constitutional hearing, when general rules have been challenged as unconstitutional, or when the judgment establishes the direct interpretation of a precept of the Constitution and the problem of constitutionality subsists in the appeal.

The plenary session of the Supreme Court of Justice of the Nation, by means of general agreements, will distribute among the chambers the matters within its jurisdiction or will remit to the collegiate circuit courts those that, in accordance with the referred agreements, the Court itself determines.