

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
1. <i>Amco Asia Corporation and others v. Republic of Indonesia</i>  ARB/81/1  ( <i>Amco I</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part  Decision of May, 16, 1986  1 ICSID Rep. 509 (1993) (English); Unofficial French translation in 114 J.Droit Int'l 175 (1987) (excerpts)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	Not addressed	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	Y	
2. <i>Amco Asia Corporation and others v. Republic of Indonesia</i>  ARB/81/1-Resubmission  ( <i>Amco II</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part  Decision of December 17, 1992  9 ICSID Rep. 9 (2006) (English)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	Y	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
	Claimants	Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	

\* En algunos procedimientos de anulación, el Solicitante caracterizó sus argumentos como pertenecientes a más de una de las causales de anulación previstas en el artículo 52 del Convenio del CIADI.

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
3. <i>Klöckner Industrie-Anlagen GmbH and others v. United Republic of Cameroon and Société Camerounaise des Engrais</i>  ARB/81/2  ( <i>Klöckner I</i> )	Claimants	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in full  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Lack of deliberation	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	Y	
4. <i>Klöckner Industrie-Anlagen GmbH and others v. United Republic of Cameroon and Société Camerounaise des Engrais</i>  ARB/81/2 – Resubmission	Respondent	Not specified	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Lack of deliberations	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
<i>(Klöckner II)</i>	Claimants	Partial	(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
5. <i>Southern Pacific Properties (Middle East) Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>  ARB/84/3  (SPP)	Respondent	Not specified				Discontinued
6. <i>Maritime International Nominees Establishment v. Republic of Guinea</i>  ARB/84/4  (MINE)	Respondent	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Not addressed	Annulled in part  <a href="#">English</a>
			(d)	Right to be heard	Not addressed	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	Y	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	Y	
7. <i>Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Vivendi Universal S.A. v.</i>	Claimants	Partial	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in part  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
<i>Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/97/3  ( <i>Vivendi I</i> )			(e)	Contradictory reasons	Not addressed	
8. <i>Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija S.A. and Vivendi Universal S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/97/3-Resubmission  ( <i>Vivendi II</i> )	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
9. <i>Víctor Pey Casado and President Allende Foundation v. Republic of Chile</i>  ARB/98/2	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">French</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process/inequality of treatment	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
<i>(Pey Casado)</i>			(d)	Right to be heard	Y	
			(d)	Burden of proof/treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	Y	
10. <i>Wena Hotels Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>  ARB/98/4  <i>(Wena)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
11. <i>Philippe Gruslin v. Malaysia</i>  ARB/99/3  <i>(Gruslin)</i>	Claimant	Not Specified				Discontinued

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
12. <i>Patrick Mitchell v. Democratic Republic of the Congo</i>  ARB/99/7  ( <i>Mitchell</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in full  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
13. <i>Consortium R.F.C.C. v. Kingdom of Morocco</i>  ARB/00/6  ( <i>RFCC</i> )	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
14. <i>Enron Creditors Recovery Corporation (formerly Enron Corporation) and Ponderosa Assets, L.P. v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/01/3  ( <i>Enron</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Breach of party autonomy	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
15. <i>MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A. v. Republic of Chile</i>  ARB/01/7  ( <i>MTD</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
16. <i>CMS Gas Transmission Company v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/01/8  ( <i>CMS</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	Y	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
17. <i>Repsol YPF Ecuador S.A. v. Empresa Estatal Petróleos del Ecuador (Petroecuador)</i>  ARB/01/10  ( <i>Repsol</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">Spanish</a>  <a href="#">English</a> (unofficial translation)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
18. <i>Azurix Corp. v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/01/12  (Azurix)	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
19. <i>LG &amp; E Energy Corp., LG &amp; E Capital Corp. and LG &amp; International Inc. v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/02/1  (LG&E)	Both	Partial				Discontinued  Order of Discontinuance  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
20. <i>Hussein Nuaman Soufraki v. United Arab Emirates</i>	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
ARB/02/7  (Soufraki)			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
21. <i>Siemens A.G. v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/02/8  (Siemens)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
22. <i>CDC Group plc v. Republic of Seychelles</i>  ARB/02/14  (CDC)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Lack of deliberation	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Untimely issuance of award	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
23. <i>Ahmonseto, Inc. and others v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>  ARB/02/15  ( <i>Ahmonseto</i> )	Claimant	Partial				Discontinued
24. <i>Sempra Energy International v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/02/16  ( <i>Sempra</i> )	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	Not addressed	Annulled in full  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	Y	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	Not addressed	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
25. <i>Industria Nacional de Alimentos, S.A. and Indalsa Perú, S.A. (formerly Empresas Lucchetti, S.A. and Lucchetti Perú, S.A.) v. Republic of Peru</i>  ARB/03/4  ( <i>Lucchetti</i> )	Claimants	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
26. <i>M.C.I. Power Group, L.C. and New Turbine, Inc. v. Republic of Ecuador</i>  ARB/03/6  (MCI)	Claimants	Partial	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
27. <i>Continental Casualty Company v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/03/9  (Continental Casualty)	Respondent	Partial	(b)	Contradictory reasons	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
	Claimant	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
	(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N			
28. <i>Joy Mining Machinery Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>  ARB/03/11  (Joy Mining)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued  <a href="#">English</a>
29. <i>El Paso Energy International</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected
			(b)	Contradictory conclusions/reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
<i>Company v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/03/15  ( <i>El Paso</i> )			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	<a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
30. <i>EDF International S.A., SAUR International S.A. and León Participaciones Argentinas S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/03/23  ( <i>EDFI v. Argentina</i> )	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a> <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(b)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Treatment of evidence	N	
31. <i>Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. Republic of the Philippines</i>  ARB/03/25	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in full  <a href="#">English</a>
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	Y	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
<i>(Fraport)</i>			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
32. <i>Duke Energy International Peru Investments No. 1 Ltd. v. Republic of Peru</i>  ARB/03/28  <i>(Duke Energy)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
33. <i>Total S.A. v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/04/1  <i>(Total)</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
34. <i>Compagnie d'Exploitation du Chemin de Fer Transgabonais v. Gabonese Republic</i>  ARB/04/5	Respondent	Full	(a)	Improper constitution of the tribunal	N	Annulment rejected  26(1) ICSID Rev.— FILJ 214 (2011) (French; excerpts)
			(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
<i>(Transgobonais)</i>			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
35. <i>Sociedad Anónima Eduardo Vieira v. Republic of Chile</i>  ARB/04/7  <i>(Vieira)</i>	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
36. <i>Daimler Financial Services</i>  ARB/05/01  <i>(Daimler)</i>	Claimant	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(d)	Treatment of evidence/ burden of proof	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Failure to decide by a majority	N	
			(d)	Unreasonable delay	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
37. <i>Malaysian Historical Salvors, SDN, BHD v. Malaysia</i>  ARB/05/10  (MHS)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in full  <a href="#">English</a>
38. <i>RSM Production Corporation v. Grenada</i>  ARB/05/14  (RSM v. Grenada)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued
39. <i>Waguih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>  ARB/05/15  (Siag)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
40. <i>Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telsim Mobil Telekomunikasyon Hizmetleri A.S. v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i>  ARB/05/16  (Rumeli)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
41. <i>Ioannis Kardassopoulos v. Georgia</i>  ARB/05/18  (Kardassopoulos)	Respondent	Partial				Discontinued
42. <i>Helnan International Hotels A/S v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>  ARB/05/19  (Helnan)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in part  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
43. <i>Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula and others v. Romania</i>  ARB/05/20  ( <i>Micula</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(d)	Burden of proof	N	
			(e)	Failure to decide	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
44. <i>Togo Electricité and GDF-Suez Energie Services v. Republic of Togo</i>  ARB/06/7  ( <i>Togo Electricité</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">French</a>
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
45. <i>Libananco Holdings Co. Limited v. Republic of Turkey</i>  ARB/06/8  ( <i>Libananco</i> )	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a> (excerpts)
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of impartiality	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process/violation of equality of arms	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Untimely issuance of award	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
46. <i>Occidental Petroleum Corp. and Occidental Exploration and Production Co. v. Republic of Ecuador</i>  ARB/06/11  (Occidental)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	Y	Annulled in part  <a href="#">English</a> <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Lack of reasoning	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
47. <i>Joseph C. Lemire v. Ukraine</i>  ARB/06/18  (Lemire)	Respondent	Partial	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a> (excerpts)
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
48. <i>Nations Energy Inc. and others v. Republic of Panama</i>  ARB/06/19  (Nations)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued
49. <i>RSM Production Corporation v.</i>	Claimant	Full	(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	Annulment rejected

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
<i>Central African Republic</i>  ARB/07/02  (RSM v. Central African Republic)			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	<a href="#">French</a> (excerpts)
50. <i>Tza Yap Shum v. Republic of Peru</i>  ARB/07/6  (Shum)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
51. <i>Toto Costruzioni Generali S.p.A. v. Republic of Lebanon</i>  ARB/07/12  (Toto)	Claimant	Full				Discontinued

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
52. <i>Ron Fuchs v. Georgia</i>  ARB/07/15  (Fuchs)	Respondent	Full				Discontinued
53. <i>Impregilo S.p.A v. Argentine Republic</i>  ARB/07/17  (Impregilo)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
54. <i>AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Erömü Kft. v. Republic of Hungary</i>  ARB/07/22  (AES Summit)	Claimants	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
			(e)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
55. <i>SGS Société Générale de Surveillance S.A. v. Republic of Paraguay</i>  ARB/07/29  ( <i>SGS v. Paraguay</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
56. <i>Astaldi S.p.A. v. Republic of Honduras</i>  ARB/07/32  ( <i>Astaldi</i> )	Respondent	Not Specified				Discontinued  <a href="#">Spanish</a>

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
57. <i>ATA Construction, Industrial and Trading Company v. Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan</i>  ARB/08/2  (ATA)	Respondent	Partial				Discontinued  <a href="#">English</a>
58. <i>Caratube International Oil Company v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i>  ARB/08/12  (Caratube)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Burden of proof	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
59. <i>Alapli Elektrik B.V. v. Republic of Turkey</i>  ARB/08/13  (Alapli)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(d)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(d)	Failure to decide by a majority	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
60. <i>Malicorp Limited v. Arab Republic of Egypt</i>  ARB/08/18  ( <i>Malicorp</i> )	Claimant	Full	(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>  <a href="#">French</a>
			(d)	Right to be heard/Inequality of treatment	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
61. <i>Karmer Marble Tourism Construction Industry and Commerce Limited Liability Company v. Georgia</i>  ARB/08/19  ( <i>Karmer</i> )	Respondent	Partial				Discontinued
62. <i>Elsamex, S.A. v. Republic of Honduras</i>  ARB/09/04  ( <i>Elsamex</i> )	Respondent	Full				Discontinued  <a href="#">Spanish</a>
	Claimant	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
63. <i>Iberdrola Energia S.A. v. Republic of Guatemala</i>  ARB/09/5  ( <i>Iberdrola</i> )			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	<a href="#">Spanish</a>
			(d)	Failure to deal with questions	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Lack of due process	N	
			(e)	Failure to state reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
64. <i>KT Asia Investment Group B.V. v. Republic of Kazakhstan</i>  ARB/09/8  ( <i>KT Asia</i> )	Claimant	Full				Discontinued
65. <i>Adem Dogan v. Turkmenistan</i>  ARB/09/9  ( <i>Dogan</i> )	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(b)	Burden of proof / Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Burden of proof	N	
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
66. <i>Commerce Group Corp. and San Sebastian Gold Mines v. Republic of El Salvador</i>  ARB/09/17  (Commerce Group)	Claimants	Full				Discontinued  Order of Discontinuance  <a href="#">English</a>
67. <i>Kilç İnşaat İthalat İhracat Sanayi ve Ticaret Anonim Şirketi v. Turkmenistan</i>  ARB/10/1  (Kilç)	Claimant	Full	(b)	Non-exercise of jurisdiction	N	Annulment Rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(b)	Burden of Proof/ Treatment of evidence	N	
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	N	
			(d)	Burden of Proof/ Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Burden of Proof/ Treatment of evidence	N	
68. <i>Antoine Abou Lahoud and Leila Bounafeh-Abou Lahoud v. Democratic Republic of the Congo</i>  ARB/10/4  (Lahoud)	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment Rejected  <a href="#">French</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
69. <i>Renée Rose Levy de Levi v. Republic of Peru</i>  ARB/10/17  (Levy de Levi)	Claimant	Partial				Discontinued
70. <i>TECO Guatemala Holdings LLC v. Republic of Guatemala</i>	Respondent	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulled in part  <a href="#">English</a>
			(b)	Failure to apply proper law	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Título corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
ARB/10/23 <i>(TECO)</i>			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	
			(e)	Insufficient and/or inadequate reasons	N	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
	Claimant	Partial	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	Not addressed	
			(d)	Burden of proof/ Treatment of evidence	Not addressed	
			(d)	Right to be heard	Y	
			(d)	Inequality of treatment	Not addressed	
			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	
			(e)	Failure to address evidence	Y	
71. <i>Rafat Ali Rizvi v. Republic of Indonesia</i>  ARB/11/13  <i>(Rizvi)</i>	Claimant	Full				Discontinued
72. <i>Tulip Real Estate and Development Netherlands B.V. v. Republic of Turkey</i>	Claimants	Full	(b)	Lack or excess of jurisdiction	N	Annulment rejected  <a href="#">English</a>
			(d)	Right to be heard	N	
			(d)	Treatment of evidence	N	

## Causales de anulación invocadas en procedimientos concluidos

Caso (Titulo corto)	Solicitante	Solicitud de anulación total o parcial	Causal invocada: Artículo 52(1) (a)-(e)*	Causal invocada: descripción	Declarada con lugar	Resultado
ARB/11/28			(d)	Inequality of treatment	N	
<i>(Tulip)</i>			(e)	Contradictory reasons	N	